

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/764,218	01/16/2001	Jaime Vargas	032405-042 7487	
33109	7590 10/10/2002			
CARDICA, l	INC.	EXAMINER		
171 JEFFERSON DRIVE MENLO PARK, CA 94025			NGUYEN, VICTOR	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3731	
		DATE MAILED: 10/10/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			I A see the state of the state			
			Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	Offic	Action Summary	09/764,218	VARGAS ET AL.		
	Ome	Action Sammary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Th MAU	INC DATE of this server is the	Victor X Nguyen	3731		
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1)[Responsi	ve to communication(s) filed on 16 /	anuany 2001			
2a)□		Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>16 January 2001</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)		,		ropopulian no to the medita is		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1	-53 is/are pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)[Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-	53 are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement.			
	ion Papers		•			
9) 🗌 🤈	The specific	ation is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	The drawing	ı(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accept	ted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exar	miner.		
	Applicant n	nay not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) 🔲 -	The propose	ed drawing correction filed on	is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certif	fied copies of the priority documents	have been received.			
	2. Certif	fied copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No		
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachm nt(s)						
2) 🔲 Notice	of Draftsperso	s Cited (PTO-892) on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) re Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/764,218

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-25, drawn to a method of grafting a graft vessel, classified in class 128, subclass 898.
 - II. Claims 26-47, drawn to a system for aligning a graft vessel, classified in class606, subclass 150.
 - III. Claims 48-53, drawn to a tension control device, classified in class 606, subclass 149.

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process, such as using a device to sew on a button. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

1. Inventions II and III are related as subcombination disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombination are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, inventions II and III have separate utility such as being used without the other devices.(MPEP § 806.05(d).

Art Unit: 3731

- 2. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. In addition, if invention I is elected a further election of species is required. Invention I contains claims directed to the following patentably distict species of the claimed invention:

Species I: Figs 4a,4b

Species II: Figs 3a,3b

Species III: Figs 5a,5b

Species IV: Figs 6a,6b

Species V: Figs 7a,7b

Species VI: Fig 8

Species VII: Figs 11,12,13

Species VIII: Figs 14a,14b,15a,15b

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

If invention II is elected, then the following election of Species applies:

Species I: Fig13

Species II: Fig 8

Art Unit: 3731

Species III: Figs 3a,3b

Species IV: Figs 4a,4b

Species V: Figs 5a,5b

Species VI: Figs 6a,6b

Species VII: Figs 14a,b; 15a,b

Species VIII: Figs 11,12

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Application/Control Number: 09/764,218

Art Unit: 3731

Page 5

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission

may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. A telephone call was made to Mr. Brian Schar on 10/3/2002 to request an oral election to

the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR

1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Victor X Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-4898.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8-4.30 P.M).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Michael Milano can be reached on (703) 308-2496. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3590 for regular

communications and (703) 305-3590 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Victor X Nguyen

Examiner

Art Unit 3731

vn

October 7,2002

KEVIN T. TRUONG

PRIMARY EXAMINER

10/0/02